

SUSPECT ADVERSE REACTION REPORT

I. REACTION INFORMATION

1. PATIENT INITIALS (first, last) PRIVACY	1a. COUNTRY DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	2. DATE OF BIRTH			2a. AGE 14 Years	3. SEX Male	3a. WEIGHT Unk	4-6 REACTION ONSET			8-12 CHECK ALL APPROPRIATE TO ADVERSE REACTION <input type="checkbox"/> PATIENT DIED <input type="checkbox"/> INVOLVED OR PROLONGED INPATIENT HOSPITALISATION <input type="checkbox"/> INVOLVED PERSISTENT OR SIGNIFICANT DISABILITY OR INCAPACITY <input type="checkbox"/> LIFE THREATENING
		Day	Month	Year				Day	Month	Year	
			PRIVACY							2025	

7 + 13 DESCRIBE REACTION(S) (including relevant tests/lab data)
 Event Verbatim [LOWER LEVEL TERM] (Related symptoms if any separated by commas)
the dosage wasn't showing properly [Device image display issue]
previously it was 1.5 mg, but we were administering 1.4 mg because 1.5 wasn't available [Drug dose prescribing error]

Case Description: This is a spontaneous report received from a Consumer or other non HCP from product quality group, Program ID: 164974.

A 14-year-old male patient received somatropin (GENOTROPIN PEN), (Batch/Lot number: unknown) till 2025 at 1.4 mg (1.4 mg (prescribed 1.5 mg)), Device Lot Number: L207, Device Expiration Date: 31Oct2026.
 (Continued on Additional Information Page)

II. SUSPECT DRUG(S) INFORMATION

14. SUSPECT DRUG(S) (include generic name) #1) Genotropin Pen (SOMATROPIN) Solution for injection #2) Genotropin Pen (SOMATROPIN (DEVICE CONSTITUENT)) Solution for injection {Lot # L207}		20. DID REACTION ABATE AFTER STOPPING DRUG? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
15. DAILY DOSE(S) #1) 1.4 mg (prescribed 1.5 mg) #2)	16. ROUTE(S) OF ADMINISTRATION #1) Unknown #2) Unknown	
17. INDICATION(S) FOR USE #1) Unknown #2) Unknown		21. DID REACTION REAPPEAR AFTER REINTRODUCTION? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
18. THERAPY DATES(from/to) #1) Unknown / 2025 #2) Unknown	19. THERAPY DURATION #1) Unknown #2) Unknown	

III. CONCOMITANT DRUG(S) AND HISTORY

22. CONCOMITANT DRUG(S) AND DATES OF ADMINISTRATION (exclude those used to treat reaction)		
23. OTHER RELEVANT HISTORY. (e.g. diagnostics, allergies, pregnancy with last month of period, etc.) From/To Dates Type of History / Notes Description Unknown		

IV. MANUFACTURER INFORMATION

24a. NAME AND ADDRESS OF MANUFACTURER Pfizer S.A. Laura Arce Mora Avenida Escazú, Torre Lexus, piso 7. Escazú San Jose, COSTA RICA		26. REMARKS
	24b. MFR CONTROL NO. PV202500072400	
24c. DATE RECEIVED BY MANUFACTURER 19-JUN-2025	24d. REPORT SOURCE <input type="checkbox"/> STUDY <input type="checkbox"/> LITERATURE <input type="checkbox"/> HEALTH PROFESSIONAL <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OTHER: Spontaneous	
DATE OF THIS REPORT 25-JUN-2025	25a. REPORT TYPE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> INITIAL <input type="checkbox"/> FOLLOWUP:	

25b. NAME AND ADDRESS OF REPORTER
 NAME AND ADDRESS WITHHELD.

 NAME AND ADDRESS WITHHELD.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**7+13. DESCRIBE REACTION(S) continued**

The patient's relevant medical history and concomitant medications were not reported.

The following information was reported: PRODUCT PRESCRIBING ERROR (non-serious) with onset 2025, described as "previously it was 1.5 mg, but we were administering 1.4 mg because 1.5 wasn't available"; DEVICE INFORMATION OUTPUT ISSUE (non-serious) with onset 2025, described as "the dosage wasn't showing properly". The action taken for somatropin was unknown.

Additional Information: Caregiver stated: "I was calling because I needed an applicator. I didn't know if it was due to humidity or something else, but I couldn't see the dosage". When asked about the dosage used, she explained: "The doctor had changed it. I was going to pick it up again. She had increased the dose to 2 mg; previously it was 1.5 mg, but we were administering 1.4 mg because 1.5 wasn't available. Now, I wasn't sure if the humidity from refrigeration was affecting it, but the dosage wasn't showing properly. At that moment, she wasn't administering it because she was planning to restart. She had been giving it based on what she believed was correct, turning the applicator as the nurse had previously instructed. But since around Holy Week, the dosage hadn't been visible. As the treatment was nearing its end, she decided to wait and see if the doctor would prescribe it again. After their appointment last week, when the doctor did prescribe it again, she decided to call to see if the device could be replaced. She also mentioned that if there was a pharmacy where she could buy it, she would do so without any problem". On 18Jun2025, the reporter stated that their answer was related to the sample being available, just do not know what they would use to administer the medication. Upon a follow-up received on 19Jun2025, patient's mother reported via email: "My answer is related to the sample being available, I just don't know what I would use to administer the medication". Then, regarding about missed doses: "Of course not, I was setting the doses based on the number of turns, but now the dose has been increased and I don't know how many turns that would be. In any case, I'll wait to see if the humidity settles".

Causality for "the dosage wasn't showing properly" was determined associated to device constituent of somatropin (malfunction).

Follow-up (18Jun2025 and 19Jun2025): This is a spontaneous follow-up report received from a Consumer or other non HCP from product quality group. Updated information included: Product information (lot# and expiration date), event information (event Intentionally missed dose recoded to Drug dose omission by device, event Incorrect dose administered by device deleted), Clinical course details added.

Follow-up (19Jun2025): This is a spontaneous follow-up report received from product quality group.

Updated information: event removed ("At that moment, she wasn't administering it because she was planning to restart"), product details and clinical course.